

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,205	11/13/2003	Bor Z. Jang		6004
7590 11/27/2006 Nanotek Instruments Inc			EXAMINER	
			ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND	
9436 Parkside Dr Centerville, OH 45458			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 1745	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/706,205 Examiner	JANG ET AL. Art Unit				
,	Raymond Alejandro	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 111/	<u>13/03</u> .					
,	, _					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
o)[25] Claim(s) 1-51 are subject to restriction and/or	· ·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•				
The path of declaration is objected to by the L	-xamilier, Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ı					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	ic or and deraned dopies not	COCIVE d.				
		•				
Attachment(s)	A) □ Januaria - 10	(DTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
		_				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,205 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a method for fabricating a membrane for use in a fuel cell membrane electrode assembly, classified in class 521, subclass 27.
- II. Claims 20-29, drawn to a method for forming an electrode for use in a fuel cell membrane electrode assembly, classified in class 502, subclass 101.
- III. Claims 30-38 and 48, drawn to fuel cells comprising specific twin-wire arc deposited catalyst, classified in class 429, subclass 40.
- IV. Claims 39-47, drawn to method for making a membrane electrode assembly, classified in class 427, subclass 115.
- V. Claims 49-51, drawn to an integrated method for making a fuel cell structure, classified in class 29, subclass 890 or 738.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects. For instance, the invention of Group I is a method for fabricating a membrane per se that requires preparing a solid electrolyte membrane while the invention of Group II is a method for forming an electrode per se that does not require preparing a solid electrolyte membrane and does require preparing a precursor material to catalyst.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,205 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects. For instance, the invention of Group I is directed toward a method for fabricating a membrane per se whereas the invention of Group III comprises fuel cells which are devices for electrochemical generation of energy.

- 4. Inventions I and IV are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. For instance, the invention of Group IV requires a bonding step for bonding an anode and a cathode while the invention of Group I does not require such a bonding step. Therefore, they represent mutually exclusive process embodiments because they encompass different designs. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 5. Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects. For instance, the invention of Group I is a method for fabricating a membrane per se while the invention of Group V is directed to a method for making

Art Unit: 1745

a fuel cell structure. Clearly, a membrane has a different design and/or effect than a fuel cell structure which is a device for generation of power.

- 6. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects. For instance, the invention of Group II is directed toward a method for forming an electrode per se whereas the invention of Group III comprises fuel cells which are devices for electrochemical generation of energy.
- 7. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects. For instance, the invention of Group II is a method for forming an electrode per se and does not require preparing a solid electrolyte membrane while the invention of Group IV is a method for making a membrane electrode assembly and require preparing a solid electrolyte membrane.
- 8. Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects. For instance, the invention of Group II is a method for forming an electrode per se while the invention of Group V is directed to a method for making a fuel cell structure. Clearly, an electrode have a different design and/or effect than a fuel cell structure which is a device for generation of power.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,205 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

9. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects. For instance, the invention of Group III comprises fuel cells which are devices for electrochemical generation of energy whereas the invention of Group IV is directed to a method of making a membrane electrode assembly per se. Clearly, fuel cells have different design and/or effect than the membrane electrode assembly.

- 10. Inventions III and V are related as process of making and product (*apparatus*) made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product (apparatus) as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example (*as claimed and disclosed in the specification*), the invention of Group III (i.e. the fuel cell) can be made by the different methods as instantly claimed, by either the method of Group I (preparing a solid electrolyte membrane), or the method of Group II (preparing an electrode without preparing a solid electrolyte membrane), or the method of Group IV (by including a bonding step).
- 11. Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects. For instance, the invention of Group IV is directed to a method of making a membrane electrode assembly per se while the invention of

Art Unit: 1745

Group V is directed to a method for making a fuel cell structure. Clearly, a membrane electrode assembly has a different design and/or effect than a fuel cell structure which is a device for generation of power. The membrane electrode assembly may be used in an electrolytic cell instead of a fuel cell.

- 12. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Additionally, further restriction is thus required. Thus, applicant must elect one (1) of the above group and one (1) of the species below only if Group III is finally elected.

14. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1: the fuel cell comprising an electrolyte membrane comprising a twin-wire art-deposited catalyst;

Species 2: the fuel cell wherein the anode or cathode electrodes comprises a twin-wire arc-deposited catalyst.

The species are independent or distinct because they represent mutually exclusive embodiments not overlapping in scope.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,205

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

15. A telephone call was made to Bor Z. Jang on 11/21/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Application/Control Number: 10/706,205

Art Unit: 1745

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

16. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (571) 272-1282. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 am - 6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1745

Raymond Alejandro Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

PRIMARY EXAMINER